
Everyday History in Colonial India: A Bibliographical Essay

Juniana Fazal

Lecturer, Department of History and Pakistan Studies, Forman Christian College, University, Lahore.
Email: junainafazal10@gmail.com

Abstract:

This bibliographical treatise examines how everyday history became an important area of study of colonial India. It goes beyond the state-based and elite versions of politics and emphasizes how historians have come to find the concerns of living, everyday people, their practices and negotiations in the middle of the rule of the British. This essay reveals that the colonial power functioned not by the institutions alone but also by food, clothes, medicine, technology, and space arrangements. It investigates the way in which ordinary life was converted into a location of domination and resistance or cultural hybridization to elicit intricate interplay of colonial policies and local traditions. Considering the main scholarship, the essay reveals the significant themes including relations of power, social change, and cultural adaptation, as well as some gaps that need to be filled in through the additional research. Finally, it places commonplace history in the frames of overarching historiographical discussions, highlighting the importance in its value in comprehending how colonialism developed the textures of life in South Asia.

Key words: Bibliographical, colonial India, cultural hybridization, social change

Introduction

Historical research has shown that the everyday life of India has attracted a considerable amount of interest in the past decade or so. It looks at routine, and everyday human experiences, and practices, and it transcends the state-centric or elite-based narratives. The daily life was not just a setting to events but a place where power was negotiated, fought and transformed. Historians demonstrate how colonialism was incorporated into the everyday life that affected food, clothing, technology, medicine, and spatial organization.

This is a method of writing history with a history from below whereby the focus is on the lived realities of the people under colonial rule. The colonial policies crossed the local traditions forming hybrid forms of culture which were both representative and oppositional to the imperial power. The analysis of life indicates the conflicts between control and daily measures on adaptation, which shows delicate experiences with colonial power.

This review addresses the main scholarship on the topic of everyday life in colonial India, evaluating the contribution of the main scholars, outlining themes, and defining gaps. It also places Indian historiography in the context of the world debates and focuses on the special experiences of South Asians in colonial rule.

Historiographical Context

Historiography became interested in everyday life as a reaction against the traditional history of politics and war that promoted a people history. The Annales School in France also stressed long-term structures, mentalities, and everyday practices even prior to World War II, whereas cultural anthropology and Marxism were promoting the study of ordinary lives. Other works like *The Interpretation of Cultures* (1973) by Clifford Geertz, *Distinction* (1979) by Pierre

Bourdieu and *Critique of Everyday Life* (1991) by Henri Lefebvre restructured the historical interrogative around culture and everyday life.

Alltagsgeschichte in Germany examined the German life under dictatorship, but emphasizing the forms of small-scale opposition, as in the works by Thompson, Ginzburg, and Darnton. The Subaltern Studies group of Guha, Chatterjee, and Spivak concentrated on peasants, workers and women in South Asia, expressing overt and covert opposition. Arnold, Bayly, and Chakrabarty were among the scholars who focused on the colonial power in terms of institutions, technologies and habits. Based on Foucault and de Certeau, historians demonstrate how people lived with and resisted the authority and made their everyday lives a focus of social, cultural, and postcolonial history.

Dimensions of Everyday Life in Colonial India Thematic

My study of life in India reveals that it considers various general themes that indicate how the state, the society and the individual practice relate to each other. Historians explore everyday in terms of governance via technology, in terms of medicine via resistance and in terms of the spatial organization. The themes expose how colonialism had an influence on the lives. The themes also show the strength of the society and the ingenuity of the society where the Indian society is making adjustments to the imperial power and the Indian society is challenging the imperial power.

I believe the essence of these questions is that it was not a block that was dispersed by the colonial power. The state did not function through demonstrations of violence, or, through legislative acts. Rather, colonial dominance presented itself in objects: the police in markets the work of post offices the rules, to clean up the prisons, the means of maintaining order in the city. Of importance was also what the Indians responded to the colonial interventions. The Indians were at times opposing the interventions.

I observe that the thematic diversity contributes ideas to the study of India. The thematic diversity passes beyond the image of control and resistance. The diversity of the theme presents the blend of life where the colonial modernity collides with the traditions. The colonialist power becomes visible. The colonial power is able to intrude into some aspects of life and this is left undisturbed by the colonial power. The strands of the scholarship discussed include the following sections.

Everyday State

History of the everyday state looks into the ways in which governance, power, and policy can be practiced in everyday life. It is a fairly recent area of the social sciences, particularly in the research regarding post-colonial societies. One of the main works is the book *The Everyday State and Society in Modern India* (2001) by Christopher John Fuller and Véronique Benei that addresses the issue of how the modern Indian state influences everyday life. Their work demonstrates that the role of state influence depends on the social position of citizens and it is important to note that Indians are active subjects of the state process not mere objects. Even though the focus of the study was on post-colonial India, it elicited additional research.

Elisabetta Lobb thesis *A Betrayed Promise?* is one of such works. It is a politics of the everyday state and the resettling of refugees in Pakistani Punjab, 1947/1962 (2013), which examines the everyday life of middle-class Pakistani partition refugees. In the same way, *From Subjects to Citizens: Society and the Everyday State in India and Pakistan, 1947/1970* (2014) by Taylor C. Sherman, William Gould, and Sara Ansari examines how common people experienced state institutions after the independence and how the concept of citizenship changed over the first two decades of post-colonialism.

Going back to colonial India, the British state infiltrated the everyday life with revenue systems, administration of the law, and reform of education. In his work *Subject Lessons* (2007) by

Sanjay Seth, one can see how the Western education influenced the Indian perception and everyday habit and left an intellectual stamp on India. Ordinary people under British rule experienced violence and discrimination of the law, which was revealed in the book *Colonial Justice in British India* written by Elizabeth Kolsky (2010).

Taken together, these studies indicate that state power was best achieved by its day-to-day existence. But it was in this presence that even the possibility of negotiation, evasion and understated resistance was possible in the everyday dealings.

Everyday Technology

In India, history of technology examines the transformations of technological innovations in the lives of ordinary people and their connection to colonial power. I understand that technologies are not instruments; technologies lie within political and cultural contexts. Communication, movement, work and social contact are transformed by technologies.

The telegraph, the railways, the printing press, the clocks, the bicycles, the sewing machine and the typewriters transformed the lifestyles of the people. The railways altered the people movement, workers movement, and trade movement. Government was fastened by the telegraph and the postal systems. Demonstrated colonial power. *The Tools of Empire: Technology and European Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century* is a book by Daniel Headrick (1981). The book by Daniel Headrick describes the ways in which the colonialists took advantage of technology to maintain power in colonies. I believe that technological infrastructures were not only functional as demonstrated by Headrick. I observe, that Arnold identifies the interactions that occur between Britain and India as knowledge, machines and scientific practices are being relocated. In life, Arnold demonstrates that ordinary Indians adopted technologies adapted the technologies and even opposed the technologies.

The railway system is another technology that has been studied by other scholars. The *Tracks of Change: Railways and Everyday Life in Colonial India* by Ritika Prasad (2015) proves the impact of the railway type of mediation of colonial ideology, economic extraction, and new social hierarchies. The daily life of being on a train, being ticketed, being segregated, and being on a schedule showed the interdependence of power, mobility and social stratification.

I observe that there is a bilateral relationship, between power and Indian agency, in the study of history of technology. Technologies are a way that colonial power reaches in life. Technologies also provide agency means to change, innovate, rebel. There are still gaps in the literature concerning men and women experience with technology. There are still loopholes regarding technological variation between regions. There are still gaps concerning the way technology combines with the caste and labour hierarchies. Gaps indicative of ideas, to research.

Everyday Medicine

The imperial power, the social stratifications, as well as the cultural practices in India are examined as to how health, illness and medical practices are attributed to them. I perceive that ordinary medicine is a mechanism of government. I regard that everyday medicine is a negotiating and resisting place. I observe that the day-to-day medicine influences life, in the case of Indians within the geographic environments.

Colonial health measures included campaigning, both against diseases and also regulation of childbirth and hygiene and nutrition. Colonial medical interventions were not embraced by all people and the colonial interventions were not evenly distributed in the colonies. *Colonizing the Body* by David Arnold (1993) demonstrates that British medical policies were connected with state ambitions like, labour control, military preparedness and social control. He demonstrates that health campaigns have been made a part of life. Such health campaigns like vaccination drives have become a part of routine.

Nevertheless, in the study of everyday medicine, there are a number of gaps in the scholarly research. The research has centred on the urban centres or notable epidemics, and little has been done on the rural health practices, regional differences, and interplay of caste, class as well as gender. Little is known too of the cultural aspects of everyday medicine, including dietary recommendations, health-related practices, and the discursive mediation of both modern and traditional knowledge at home. I believe that filling those gaps will provide us with the idea of how colonialism transformed the way Indians experienced and lived in their life. This is important.

Everyday Resistance

As I read about it, I see that in India the daily struggle, how the simple folks could fight back in some manner. Ordinary people were overlooked. Had no voice. Ordinary people managed to rebel against the power. The daily resistance did not constitute a movement. The day-to-day protest was not an uprising. The resistance employed in everyday acts is that of defying, avoiding and changing. Power of the authority was challenged by the everyday resistance. The day-to-day resistance was not necessarily a direct face to face with the colonial power.

These practices can be viewed through the prism of *Weapons of the Weak* by James C. Scott (1985). I read that Scott says resistance is usually concealed in routines. Foot dragging, non compliance, sabotage, gossip and negotiation are the daily activities. In the colonial context the peasants resist taxes, work-related obligations and resource drainage, through plans. Strategies in everyday life remain beyond the reach of authorities. Add up to strong force.

When reading the book *Contesting Power: Resistance and Everyday Social Relations*, in South Asia (1991), I realise that Gyan Prakash and Douglas E. Haynes include the artisans, labourers, courtesans and urban merchants in the analysis. Gyan Prakash and Douglas E. Haynes demonstrate that it was not only the communities that resisted. Gyan Prakash and Douglas E.

Haynes demonstrate the resistance diffusion to networks in life and business. Gyan Prakash and Douglas E. Haynes discuss the combination of ranks and the colonial rules. Gyan Prakash and Douglas E. Haynes demonstrate the way everyday.

Although these rich studies have been conducted, there are research gaps. The vast majority of scholarship focuses on rural peasantries, urban elites, and mid-sized towns, tribal regions, and marginalized groups of occupations are under-explored. Furthermore, the connection of resistance to caste, gender and regional diversity has to be further analysed. Finally, symbolic and performative resistance, rituals, festivals and artistic expression, as a daily defiance has not been well developed. I believe that discussing the gaps provides the image of the how people slighted authority. Whether in forms of small uprisings or in forms of huge ones, people rebelled against authority in the daily lives of millions of Indians.

Everyday Space

Space colonial India concept concerns anything that relates to physical, social, and symbolic places where individuals in their daily lives worked and interacted with each other. The space was not merely a backdrop but it was alive and power, culture and identity were being compromised in the space with which we dealt on a daily basis. Colonial urban planning, architectural projects and land policies changed these spaces and altered rhythms, social statuses and motions.

In order to control it, Calcutta, Bombay, and Madras among others were re-planned to boost administration, trade and exercising control. European quarters, commercial areas, and segregated neighbourhoods helped in strengthening the racial and class hierarchies. The streets, the markets and the cities buildings became arenas of power and here the Indians localised and reproduced their networks and practices.

The village structure as well as the agrarian relations in the rural areas were altered by land tenure systems, taxation, and irrigation systems in the villages. Such interventions of space as shown by Ranajit Guha (1983) in *Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency* tend to be shedding light on resistance that reveals the close relations between the geography, power, and everyday life. They were identities and solidarities places of minor resistance, in secular and religious spaces, like in temples and shrines, mosques and collective places. The article by Hussain Ahmed Khan on artisans presents the way sacred spaces were employed to resist the colonial rule and preserve the locals' traditions. Markets, festivals fair also proved to be places of culture.

Research Gaps and Critical Reflections

I believe the life study, in India has provided me with new perspectives of studying history. The paper transcends the economic and elite discourse. The paper examines individuals, peasants, artisans, women, labourers, and those others who were sidelined. Historians have demonstrated the entry of the colonial power into routines, cultural practices and social relations. Historians still see gaps. There are questions that are perceived by the historians as requiring work.

Research like the one done by Anindita Ghosh in *Behind the Veil* (2008) brings out the story of the woman and opposition. However, Gender remains underrepresented in historical analysis. The gender cross with caste, class and occupation require research to reflect the multi-faceted nature of the colonial social life. Labor by women, healthcare by women, women mobility, women participation in activities and women participation in activities all must be studied more. Practices, rituals, festivals, eating habits, sports and house hold routines, provide us with a glimpse, of how people bargain culture creates sense of identity and resistance in some manner. There is a little bit of work done early; Everyday practices, rituals, festivals, culinary habits,

sports and domestic routines are not examined thoroughly in particular when we contemplate how the colonial policies transformed material culture. Cross-regional and cross-communal comparisons might reveal the role of daily activities, customs, holidays, culinary patterns, athletics and home activities in the formation of authority. I find that interesting.

Personally, I believe that the combination of archaeology, anthropology, geography and material culture study provides opportunities to examine life. I also observe that technologies, medicine and spatial practices are responding to social processes in different ways that require alternative approaches. The amalgamation is worth considering.

I believe that generally the study of life, in India has provided significant avenues, to the history but the study is still a new field with potentiality. By sealing the gaps by scholars, they expand research. Increase the knowledge on how the lives of millions of Indians were melded by the colonial structures. The gaps also signify the extent of authority and resourcefulness and strength of individuals.

Conclusion

Historiography The history of everyday life in colonial India has transformed the historical discipline by moving the centre of attention onto the elites, institutions, and great political occurrences and towards the experiences of the ordinary people. The historians analyse the relations between the colonial power and everyday life, technology, medicine, resistance and space organization. Based on these studies it is clear that colonialism was not just in policies or key events but also entrenched in the social norms, cultural practices and daily lives whose effects are still felt today. As this bibliographical essay demonstrates, there are still gaps in research despite the considerable improvement. The issue of regional variations, women experiences, marginalized castes and classes, symbolic and cultural practices, as well as

longitudinal views remain understudied. By filling these gaps by interdisciplinary and comparative means, we may gain a better insight into the colonial India.

In the end, the analysis of the everyday life proves that common people were the ones who influenced history. It brings out the focal point of the ordinary in the interpretation of power, culture and social transformation, and it informs the postcolonial tales.

Bibliography

Ahuja, R. (2009). *Pathways of empire: Circulation, public works and social space in colonial Orissa, c. 1780–1914*. Oxford University Press.

Arnold, D. (2000). *Science, technology and medicine in colonial India*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/chol9780521563192>

Darnton, R. (1984). *The great cat massacre and other episodes in French cultural history*. Basic Books.

Fuller, C. J., & Bénéï, V. (Eds.). (2001). *The everyday state and society in modern India*. Social Science Press.

Ghosh, A. (2008). *Behind the veil: Resistance, women and the everyday in colonial South Asia*. Permanent Black.

Guha, R. (1984). *Elementary aspects of peasant insurgency in colonial India*. Oxford University Press.

Raina, D., & Habib, S. I. (2004). *Domesticating modern science: A social history of science and culture in colonial India*. Tulika Books.

Kolsky, E. (2010). *Colonial justice in British India: White violence and the rule of law*. Cambridge University Press.

Seth, S. (2007). *Subject lessons: The Western education of colonial India*. Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9780822390602>

Lester, A., & Lambert, D. (Eds.). (2010). *Colonial lives across the British Empire: Imperial career in the long nineteenth century*. Cambridge University Press.

Bourdieu, P. (2018). Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste. In D. B. Grusky & J. Hill (Eds.), *Inequality* (pp. 141–150). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315680347-10>

Geertz, C. (1973/2025). Thick description: Toward an interpretive theory of culture. In *The interpretation of cultures* (pp. 107–125). Basic Books. (Original work published 1973) <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003483816-11>

Alter, J. S. (1994). Somatic nationalism: Indian wrestling and militant Hinduism. *Modern Asian Studies*, 28(3), 557–588. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X00011860>

Chakrabarti, P. (2010). Beasts of burden: Animals and laboratory research in colonial India. *History of Science*, 48(2), 125–151. <https://doi.org/10.1177/007327531004800201>

Prakash, G. (1990). Writing post-Orientalist histories of the Third World: Perspectives from Indian historiography. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 32(2), 383–408.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417500016534>

Arnold, D. (1994). [Review of the book *Colonizing the body: State medicine and epidemic disease in nineteenth-century India*, by D. Arnold]. *Choice Reviews Online*, 31(06), 31–3258.

<https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.31-3258>

Trivedi, L. (2014). [Review of the book *Everyday technology: Machines and the making of India's modernity*, by D. Arnold]. *The American Historical Review*, 119(5), 1667–1668.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/ahr/119.5.1667>

Choice Reviews Online. (1996). [Review of the book *The science of empire: Scientific knowledge, civilization, and colonial rule in India*]. *Choice Reviews Online*, 34(4), 34–2129.

<https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.34-2129>

Keller, A., Ginzburg, C., Tedeschi, J., & Tedeschi, A. (1982). [Review of the book *The cheese and the worms: The cosmos of a sixteenth-century miller*, by C. Ginzburg].

Technology and Culture, 23(4), 650. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3104820>

Cleetus, B. (2009). [Review of the book *Nationalizing the body: The medical market, print and daktari medicine*, by P. B. Mukharji]. *South Asian History and Culture*, 1(1), 155–159.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/19472490903387324>

Fisher, M. H. (1993). [Review of the book *Contesting power: Resistance and everyday social relations in South Asia*, by D. Haynes & G. Prakash]. *The Journal of Asian Studies*, 52(1), 188–189.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/2059199>

Bailey, F. G., & Scott, J. C. (1987). [Review of the book *Weapons of the weak: Everyday forms of peasant resistance*, by J. C. Scott]. *Pacific Affairs*, 60(2), 365.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/2758183>

Smelser, N. J., & Thompson, E. P. (1966). [Review of the book *The making of the English working class*, by E. P. Thompson]. *History and Theory*, 5(2), 213.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/2504519>

Copyright: © The Authors

Licensing: This article is open access and is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons

Attribution 4.0 International License

Conflict of Interest: Author (s) declared no conflict of interest

Article info:

Article received: 29 September 2025

Article accepted: 1 December 2025

Article published: 5 December 2025